

Federal, State, and local agencies as well as individuals and organizations who may be interested in, or affected by the proposed action. Public scoping meetings are planned for Juneau at Centennial Hall from 2 p.m. until 7 p.m. on Wednesday, October 11 and in Haines at the Council Chambers in City Hall from 2 p.m. until 7 p.m. on Thursday, October 12. If weather precludes travel to Haines on the 12th, the meeting will be held October 19 instead.

The draft supplemental environmental impact statement should be available for public review by December 15, 1995. The comment period on the draft supplemental environmental impact statement will be 45 days from the date the Environmental Protection Agency publishes the notice of availability in the Federal Register.

The Forest Service believes, at this early stage, it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of draft environmental impact statements must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. *Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC*, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft environmental impact statement stage but that are not raised until after the completion of the final environmental impact statement may be waived or dismissed by the courts. *City of Angoon v. Hodel*, 803 F.2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and *Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris*, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 45 day comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final environmental impact statement. To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the draft environmental impact statement should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the draft environmental impact statement or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing

the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

The final supplemental environmental impact statement is scheduled to be completed by February 15, 1996. The Forest Supervisor for the Chatham Area of the Tongass National Forest will, as the responsible official for the EIS, make a decision regarding this proposal considering the comments, responses, and environmental consequences discussed in the Final SEIS, and applicable laws, regulations, and policies. The decision and supporting reasons will be documented in a Record of Decision.

Dated: September 29, 1995.

Gary A. Morrison,
Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc. 95-25512 Filed 10-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

[Docket 60-95]

Foreign-Trade Zone 50, Long Beach, CA; Application for Expansion

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) by the Board of Harbor Commissioners of the City of Long Beach, California, grantee of FTZ 50, requesting authority to expand its zone at a site in San Bernardino, California, within the Los Angeles-Long Beach Customs port of entry area. The application was submitted pursuant to the provisions of the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a-81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally filed on October 5, 1995.

FTZ 50 was approved on September 14, 1979 (Board Order 147, 44 F.R. 55919, 9/28/79) and expanded three times (Board Orders 298, 341 and 494). The zone project currently includes 3 general-purpose sites in the Los Angeles/Long Beach Customs port of entry area: *Site 1* (12 acres)—Parcel 1-A, 1500 West Dominguez St., Long Beach and Parcel 1-B, 727 Capital Drive, San Pedro; *Site 2* (1,855 acres)—California Commerce Center, Ontario; *Site 3* (92 acres)—including parcels within the Inter-City Commuter Station Redevelopment area in Santa Ana and a warehouse facility at 3000 and 3100 Segerstrom Avenue and 2900 and 2930 South Fairview Street, within the South Harbor Redevelopment area, Santa Ana.

The applicant is now requesting authority to expand the zone to include

an additional site (proposed *Site 4*—175 acres) within the 2,300-acre San Bernardino International Airport and Trade Center complex (formerly Norton Air Force Base) in San Bernardino, California. A 2.5 million square foot WorldPointe Center for International Trade is planned for the proposed zone site (located at the northwest corner of Mill Street and Tippecanoe Avenue). The developer of this project is the Inland Valley Development Agency. No specific manufacturing requests are being made at this time. Such requests would be made to the Board on a case-by-case basis.

In accordance with the Board's regulations (as revised, 56 FR 50790-50808, 10-8-91), a member of the FTZ Staff has been designated examiner to investigate the application and report to the Board.

Public comment on the application is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is December 15, 1995. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period to January 2, 1996.

A copy of the application and accompanying exhibits will be available for public inspection at each of the following locations:

U.S. Department of Commerce, District Office, 11000 Wilshire Boulevard, Room 9200, Los Angeles, California 90024

Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 3716, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230

Dated: October 6, 1995.

Dennis Puccinelli,

Acting Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-25606 Filed 10-13-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

[Docket 59-95]

Foreign-Trade Zone 8, Toledo, OH; Proposed Foreign-Trade Subzone BP Exploration & Oil Inc. (Oil Refineries); Lucas, Allen and Wood Counties, OH

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) by the Toledo-Lucas County Port Authority, grantee of FTZ 8, requesting special-purpose subzone status for the oil refinery system of BP Exploration & Oil Inc., located at sites in Lucas/Allen/Wood Counties (Toledo and Lima areas), Ohio. The application was

submitted pursuant to the provisions of the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a–81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR part 400). It was formally filed on October 5, 1995.

BP's Ohio refinery facilities consist of three sites which operate as an integrated system: *Site 1* (142,000 barrels per day (BPD); 649 acres)—Toledo refinery and petrochemical feedstock complex located at 4100 Cedar Point Road (including a tank farm adjacent to the refinery on Buckeye Road), in the city of Oregon, Lucas County; *Site 2* (170,000 BPD; 650 acres)—Lima refinery and petrochemical feedstock complex, located some 80 miles south of the Toledo refinery at 1150 South Metcalf Road along the Ottawa River in Shawnee Township, Allen County; *Site 3* (17 acres)—crude oil tank farm located midway between the refineries at 12716 Tank Farm Road, city of Cygnet, Wood County.

The refineries (1,000 employees) are used to produce fuels and petrochemical feedstocks. Fuels produced include gasoline, jet fuel, distillates, residual fuels, and naphthas. Petrochemicals include methane, ethane, butane, propane, toluene, benzene, and xylene. Refinery by-products include petroleum coke, asphalt and carbon black. Approximately one-quarter of the crude oil (nearly all of inputs) and some feedstocks are sourced abroad.

Zone procedures would exempt the refineries from Customs duty payments on the foreign products used in its exports. On domestic sales, the company would be able to choose the finished product duty rate (nonprivileged foreign status—NPF) on certain petrochemical feedstocks and refinery by-products (duty-free). The duty on crude oil ranges from 5.25¢ to 10.5¢/barrel. The application indicates that the savings from zone procedures would help improve the refineries' international competitiveness.

In accordance with the Board's regulations, a member of the FTZ Staff has been designated examiner to investigate the application and report to the Board.

Public comment is invited from interested parties. Submissions (original and 3 copies) shall be addressed to the Board's Executive Secretary at the address below. The closing period for their receipt is December 15, 1995. Rebuttal comments in response to material submitted during the foregoing period may be submitted during the subsequent 15-day period (to January 2, 1996).

A copy of the application and accompanying exhibits will be available for public inspection at each of the following locations:

U.S. Department of Commerce District Office, Bank One Center, 600 Superior Ave., Suite 700, Cleveland, Ohio 44114

Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, Room 3716, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th & Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230

Dated: October 5, 1995.

Dennis Puccinelli,

Acting Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95–25610 Filed 10–13–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

International Trade Administration

[A–122–006]

Steel Jacks From Canada; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping finding on steel jacks from Canada. The review covers two manufacturer/exporters of this merchandise to the United States, New-Form Manufacturing Co., Ltd. (NFM) and Seeburn Metal Products (Seeburn). The period covered is September 1, 1993 through August 31, 1994. The review indicates the existence of dumping margins for this period.

We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results. Parties who submit argument in this proceeding are requested to submit with the argument (1) a statement of the issue and (2) a brief summary of the argument.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 16, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Thomas Killiam or John Kugelman, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0665 or 482–0649, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On May 17, 1966, the Treasury Department published in the Federal Register (31 FR 7485) the antidumping finding on steel jacks from Canada. Based on a timely request for review, we initiated an administrative review of two firms, NFM and Seeburn, on November 14, 1994 (59 F.R. 56549), for the 1993–1994 period of review (POR), in accordance with 19 CFR § 353.22(c). The Department is now conducting this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute and to the Department's regulations are in reference to the provisions as they existed on December 31, 1994.

Scope of the Review

Imports covered by this review are multi-purpose hand-operated heavy-duty steel jacks, used for lifting, pulling, and pushing, measuring from 36 inches to 64 inches high, assembled, semi-assembled and unassembled, including jack parts, from Canada. The merchandise is currently classified under Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS) item numbers 8425.49.00. The HTS numbers are provided for convenience and Customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

This review covers two manufacturer/exporters, NFM and Seeburn. The POR is September 1, 1993, through August 31, 1994.

Seeburn

On February 3, 1995, the Department determined that the products imported by Seeburn were automobile tire jacks outside the scope of the antidumping finding on steel jacks from Canada. Therefore, because Seeburn had no shipments of subject merchandise during the POR and Seeburn has never before been reviewed, we are assigning Seeburn the "all others" rate.

United States Price (USP)

Because NFM sold all its merchandise to unrelated U.S. customers prior to importation, we based USP on purchase price in accordance with section 772(b) of the Act. We calculated purchase price based on prices that were either F.O.B. or delivered to the customers' premises. In accordance with section 772(d)(2) of the Act, we adjusted USP for discounts, brokerage and handling, foreign and U.S. inland freight, and customs duty,